

Impact of protection methods and abiotic factors on *Nothofagus pumilio* seedlings mortality in Torres del Paine National Park, Chile

MARTIN SMOLA^{1,2*}, JOSEF CAFOUREK³, ANTONÍN KUSBACH¹, MARTIN ŠENFELDR¹, JOSEF STRŘÍTECKÝ⁴, PETR MADĚRA¹

¹Department of Forest Botany, Dendrology and Geobiocoenology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Mendel University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

²Lesprojekt východní Čechy, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic

³University Forest Enterprise Masaryk Forest in Křtiny, Mendel University in Brno, Křtiny, Czech Republic

⁴Patrik System, Jaroměřice nad Rokytnou, Czech Republic

*Corresponding author: smola.martin@seznam.cz

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)

The authors are fully responsible for both the content and the formal aspects of the Electronic Supplementary Material. No editorial adjustments were made.

<https://doi.org/10.17221/45/2023-JFS>

Table S1. Results of the survey of protection methods (repellents/mechanical protection) by damage category and research plot

Damage category	Type of protection														Total
	AV	CA	CE	LA	TP	PE	C	MO	NE	NI	RE	SA	TA	LE	
N1	4	3	0	0	10	1	1	4	3	6	9	0	3	3	47
ZS1	3	0	4	3	0	3	0	4	3	1	0	6	4	4	35
K1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	8
S1	2	7	6	7	1	0	1	2	4	2	1	5	5	3	46
CH1	2	2	2	2	1	7	10	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	32
N2	0	2	0	1	9	2	0	1	0	2	5	0	2	0	24
ZS2	3	0	3	2	0	3	2	2	1	6	2	5	1	4	34
K2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	12
S2	9	6	9	5	3	5	6	7	8	3	1	6	6	3	77
CH2	0	1	0	4	0	1	4	2	2	0	1	0	2	4	21
N3	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	5	8	0	1	0	25
ZS3	5	3	9	5	1	10	3	7	3	3	2	8	7	7	73
K3	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	3	6	3	1	4	1	0	27
S3	7	5	3	5	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	3	32
CH3	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	11
N4	4	3	3	6	7	7	4	3	1	1	7	0	8	2	56
ZS4	5	6	4	4	2	2	1	3	8	7	3	7	0	5	57
K4	3	0	4	0	0	2	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	15
S4	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	4	0	17
CH4	0	2	1	1	0	1	3	3	1	3	2	1	0	5	23
N5	3	9		7		3	4	7	3	3	4	5		6	54
ZS5	2	0		1		3	3	2	6	4	2	1		3	27
K5	3	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	2		1	6
S5	3	2		0		5	4	3	3	4	5	4		1	34
CH5	1	1		4		1	1	0	0	1	1	0		1	47
N total	11	18	3	14	35	13	9	16	7	17	33	5	14	11	206
ZS total	18	9	20	15	3	21	9	18	21	21	9	27	12	23	226
K total	7	6	4	0	0	5	9	5	8	8	4	8	2	2	68
S total	21	21	18	18	8	10	12	14	20	9	8	19	18	10	206
CH total	3	6	3	13	2	11	21	7	4	5	6	1	2	14	98
N rel (%)	18.3	30.0	6.3	23.3	72.9	21.7	15.0	26.7	11.7	28.3	55.0	8.3	29.2	18.3	–
ZS rel (%)	30.0	15.0	41.7	25.0	6.3	35.0	15.0	30.0	35.0	35.0	15.0	45.0	25.0	38.3	–
K rel (%)	11.7	10.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	15.0	8.3	13.3	13.3	6.7	13.3	4.2	3.3	–
S rel (%)	35.0	35.0	37.5	30.0	16.7	16.7	20.0	23.3	33.3	15.0	13.3	31.7	37.5	16.7	–
CH rel (%)	5.0	10.0	6.3	21.7	4.2	18.3	35.0	11.7	6.7	8.3	10.0	1.7	4.2	23.3	–

AV – Aversol; CA – Cambilan; CE – Cervacol Extra; LA – Lavanol; TP – plastic tube; PE – Pellacol; C – control without protection; MO – Morsuvin; NE – Neopoint; NI – Nivus; RE – Recervin; SA – Sanatex; TA – wire mesh; LE – Lentacol; N – live seedlings without damage; ZS – live seedlings with dry terminal; K – seedlings browsed; S – dead dry seedlings; CH – missing seedling